P116468.A06 App. No. 09/903,838

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Fangman, et al. Group Art Unit: 2619

Appl. No.: 09/903,838 Examiner: LEE, Andrew Chung Cheung

Filed: July 11, 2001 Confirmation No. 2194

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONFIGURING AN IP TELEPHONY

DEVICE

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

This is a Substance of Interview for the personal interview held on June 23, 2009, and in response to the Interview Summary dated July 1, 2009.

Applicants believe that no extension of time is necessary. However, if an extension is deemed by the Patent and Trademark Office to be necessary, the same is hereby requested, and the Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees necessary to preserve the pendency of this application to H&A Deposit Account No. 50-2929, referencing Docket No. P116468.

Remarks begin on page 2.

P116468.A06 App. No. 09/903,838

REMARKS

On June 23, 2009, Examiner Andrew Lee held a Personal Interview with Ed Garcia-Otero, Registration Number 56,609 (Applicant's Representative). Applicant appreciates the courtesies of Examiner Lee. Applicant regrets that no primary examiner or supervisory patent examiner (SPE) was available for the interview, despite an explicit request in the Agenda for the interview.

Independent claims 1 and 60 were discussed, as stated in the Interview Summary dated July 1, 2009. The Interview Summary asserts that claims 1 and 60 were "broadly discussed." Applicants disagree, and submit that claims 1 and 60 were discussed in great detail, and were distinguished over the cited art.

For example, Applicant asserted that the claim 1 feature "receiving an identifier from the IP telephone" was not disclosed by the cited art. The final Office Action, dated January 22, 2009, asserts that U.S. 6,948,992 (hereinafter "Lee") discloses this feature of claim 1 at FIG. 3, element 320 "Service Provider ID," and at column 3, lines 23-32 of the specification.

Applicant asserted that that FIG. 3 of Lee '992 clearly indicates that the Service Provider ID originates from the IP Phone Service Provider 202, and not from the IP Phone 102, as required by claim 1. Therefore, Lee '992 does not disclose the above recited feature of claim 1. This logic was previously presented to the Examiner in writing in the after-final Response dated March 23, 2009, and was dismissed by the Examiner in the Advisory Action dated April 15, 2009.

P116468.A06 App. No. 09/903,838

Please direct any questions to the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Abraham Hershkovitz Reg. No. 45,294

Eugene Rzucidlo Reg. No. 31,900

Ed Garcia-Otero Reg. No. 56,609

Date: September 15, 2009

HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES, LLC 2845 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel: (703) 370-4800

Fax: (703) 370-4809

E-Mail: patent@hershkovitz.net

AH/EG